UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,460	07/24/2006	Louis Guillou	120427-167896	2714
60172 7590 05/12/2009 SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. 1420 FIFTH, SUITE 3010			EXAMINER	
			SQUIRES, BRETT S	
SEATTLE, WA 98101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2431	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/587,460	GUILLOU ET AL.			
Examiner-induced interview Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	BRETT SQUIRES	2431			
All Participants:	Status of Application: After	er Non-Final Rejection			
(1) <u>BRETT SQUIRES</u> .	(3)				
(2) FARJAM MAJD Reg. No. 64,032.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 7 May 2009	Time: <u>6:48pm</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection of claims 1-17, 19-20, and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 101					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed: None					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
/B. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 2431 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the applicant that independent claim 1 failed to tie the asymmetrical key cryptography method to a particular machine or apparatus and therefore independent claim 1 is not drawn to patentable subject matter as defined by 35 U.S.C. 101. The examiner suggested making an examiner's amendment to independent claim 1, so that the claim would be directed to patentable subject matter as defined by 35 U.S.C. 101. However, the applicant requested that a Non-Final Office action be mailed, instead of making an examiner's amendment to independent claim 1.